Briefing on the Federal Employee Viewpoint Survey: The Value and Use of FEVS in the Public Management Research Community

William Resh, PhD

University of Southern California Sol Price School of Public Policy Contact: <u>wresh@price.usc.edu</u>



Main Dependent Variables Modeled in Peer-Reviewed Academic Articles Using FHCS/EVS Survey Data



Main Independent Variables Modeled in Peer-Reviewed Academic Articles Using FHCS/EVS Survey Data



- I. Maximizing OPM transparency on pretests and item selection,
- II. Using established items for construct development,
- III. Leveraging the advantages of individual-level longitudinal tracking (e.g., developing a panel subsample),
- IV. Exploring the potential for rotation over survey waves and time,
- V. Developing a working group and soliciting requests for items for future survey waves

Distinct Strengths of the FEVS

- I. Scope and magnitude of the survey
- II. Breadth of important management concepts
- III. Replication
- IV. Panel data (at aggregate, organizational levels)
- V. Publicly released data
- VI. Easily merged to other data sources

- I. Maximizing OPM transparency on pretests and item selection,
- II. Using established items for construct development,
- III. Leveraging the advantages of individual-level longitudinal tracking (e.g., developing a panel subsample),
- IV. Exploring the potential for rotation over survey waves and time,
- V. Developing a working group and soliciting requests for items for future survey waves

- I. Maximizing OPM transparency on pretests and item selection,
- II. Using established items for construct development,
- III. Leveraging the advantages of individual-level longitudinal tracking (e.g., developing a panel subsample),
- IV. Exploring the potential for rotation over survey waves and time,
- V. Developing a working group and soliciting requests for items for future survey waves

- I. Maximizing OPM transparency on pretests and item selection,
- II. Using established items for construct development,
- III. Leveraging the advantages of individuallevel longitudinal tracking (e.g., developing a panel subsample),
- IV. Exploring the potential for rotation over survey waves and time,
- V. Developing a working group and soliciting requests for items for future survey waves

- I. Maximizing OPM transparency on pretests and item selection,
- II. Using established items for construct development,
- III. Leveraging the advantages of individual-level longitudinal tracking (e.g., developing a panel subsample),
- **IV. Exploring the potential for rotation over survey waves and time,**
- Developing a working group and soliciting requests for items for future survey waves

- I. Maximizing OPM transparency on pretests and item selection,
- II. Using established items for construct development,
- III. Leveraging the advantages of individual-level longitudinal tracking (e.g., developing a panel subsample),
- IV. Exploring the potential for rotation over survey waves and time,
- V. Developing a working group and soliciting requests for items for future survey waves